

## Criteria Used to Score FY2016 NFHP Project Proposals for the Mat-Su Salmon Partnership

Project Title:

Reviewer:

Eligibility Screening - must be able to answer "yes" to each of the following questions:	Y/N
Does proposal address one or more of the strategies identified in the Mat-Su Salmon Habitat Partnership's Strategic Action Plan?	___
If multi-annual, is there a breakdown of tasks and budget by year?	___
If applicable, the proposal includes landowner consent?	___

	Points (itemized)	Scoring Guidance	Reviewers Score	Comments
<b>1. Resource Benefits: How well the proposed approach serves to protect, restore, or enhance Matanuska-Susitna fish habitats and fish species as specified in the Strategic Action Plan. (35 points)</b>				
<b>a)</b> Proposal focuses directly on <b>anadromous Mat-Su-salmon and their habitat</b>	10	10=Yes; 0=No	0	
<b>b)</b> Proposal addresses one or more <b>potential threats</b> and incorporates <b>conservation strategies</b> identified in the Mat-Su Salmon Partnership strategic plan (2013) .	15	15=clearly identified and described, 7=moderate, 1=not well described or 0= strategies not incorporated		
<b>c)</b> Proposal accurately describes how it provides <b>short and long-term benefits</b> for Mat-Su salmon and/or their habitat and social and economic benefits. For projects that require maintenance, there is a description of ownership and maintenance responsibility.	10	10=clearly identified and described, 7=moderate, 1=not well described or 0= strategies not incorporated		
<b>2. Performance Measures (30 points)</b>				
<b>d)</b> Proposal describes <b>measurable results</b> (eg - numbers of stream miles opened or restored, acres of stream or wetland protected or other relevant measurable outcomes). <b>OR</b> Proposal describes and identifies relevant outreach or assessment measurable outcomes from the <b>project and how they inform conservation or restoration actions.</b>	10		0	
<b>e)</b> Proposal describes <b>project implementation</b> steps including tasks and timelines.	10			
<b>f)</b> Proposal includes <b>monitoring plan</b> that follows the guidance in the strategic action plan (2013 - Appendix 12). Assessment and outreach projects do not have guidance yet and rely on the proposer for clearly articulated monitoring plan.	10			
<b>3. Budget and Cost Sharing (20 points)</b>				
<b>g)</b> Describe budget, all budget items are justified in-the proposal objectives, and multiannual proposals have a breakdown of tasks by year.	10		0	
<b>h)</b> The proposal documents non-federal and/or federal support with matching or leveraged funds/ in-kind services. Proposal indicates the cost-share ratio.	10	Points for each cost-share ratio are: 10 points for ≥3:1; 8≥2:1; 6≥1:1; 2≤1:1, 0=No match		
<b>4. Applicant Qualifications (15 points)</b>				
<b>i)</b> Key organizations and personnel are described and have capabilities to complete proposal.	10		0	
<b>j)</b> Proposal provides examples of applicant's relevant experience conducting similar projects.	5			
<b>Total Possible</b>			<b>Reviewers Score</b>	
<b>Total</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	

<b>BONUS: Proposal addresses one or more partnership priority objectives.</b>	5			
---	---	--	--	--

Note: this score sheet and criteria incorporates NFHP *Minimum Benchmarks Guidance for Project Selection*, *National Conservation Strategies*, and *Evaluating Fish Habitat Partnership Performance 2013* documents.